

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,598	04/01/2004	Karl-Heinz Fuchs	Ruff 27	9681	
23474	23474 7590 08/29/2005		EXAMINER		
FLYNN THIEL BOUTELL & TANIS, P.C. 2026 RAMBLING ROAD			NICOLAS, FF	NICOLAS, FREDERICK C	
KALAMAZOO, MI 49008-1631			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3754		

DATE MAILED: 08/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief				

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/815,598	FUCHS, KARL-HEINZ	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Frederick C. Nicolas	3754	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 08 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_ . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) Will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-10 and 12. Claim(s) objected to: 14-20. Claim(s) rejected: 13. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s 13. Other: rederi¢k C. Nicolas Primary Examiner

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendment filed 8/8/2005 have not been entered because the proposed amendment to claims 13 and 16 present further consideration and new issue, e.g., "to form a mixed media" as recited in claim 13, lines 13-14, and the claimed limitation "one of the mediums comprising" as recited in claim 16, line 3. With respect to applicant's arguments that the reference of Reynolds does not show "a sealing element separating the reservoir sections". In Figure 2, Reynolds shows "at least two sealed media (6) (14), a sealing element (8) separating the two sealed media. With respect to applicant's argument that the claims recites "a single stroke pump device for applying a first linear force in a first direction and a second linear force in the first direction". The reference of Gettig specifically discloses a single stroke pump device (15,17) for applying a first linear force in a first direction to open the sealing element so that the mediums in the two media reservoir sections mix during a first mixing stage as seen in Figure 3, and a second linear force in the first direction during a second discharge stage to discharge the mixed media from the discharge opening (col. 4, 11. 47-68 onto col. 5, 11. 1-9). In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Gettig discloses all the features of the claimed invention (see FINAL Office Action) except that the media reservoir sections positioned rigidly with respect to one another. Reynolds teaches the use of two sealed media reservoir seciions (6,10,14) positioned rigidly with respect to one another (col. 3, 11. 23-38 and in col. 6, 11. 14-43). One having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would utilize Reynolds' teaching onto Gettig's device by having the media section (7) made of glass, as taught by Reynolds in (col. 3, 11. 23-38 and in col. 47-68), in order to provide a transparent media reservoir section so that the user can view the level of the mixed products.